

ABACUS MINING & EXPLORATION CORPORATION

(An exploration stage company)

Management's discussion & analysis

For the period ended March 31, 2018

Contents

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information	2
Background and overall performance	3
Results of operations	11
Analysis of the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 (the "Quarter")	11
Summary of quarterly results	12
Liquidity	12
Capital resources	12
Off balance sheet arrangements	12
Compensation of Key Management Personnel	12
Outstanding share data	13
Proposed transactions	13
Subsequent events	14
Changes in accounting policies	14
New accounting standards	14
Risks and uncertainties	15



May 24, 2018

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A" or "Report") of the financial condition of Abacus Mining & Exploration Corporation (an exploration stage company) ("Abacus" or the "Company") and results of operations of the Company, should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements including the notes thereto for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 and the audited consolidated financial statements including the notes thereto for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (collectively, the "Financial Statements").

The Financial Statements are presented in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). Abacus' accounting policies are described in Note 3 of the Financial Statements. These Financial Statements, together with this MD&A dated May 24, 2018 (the "Report Date"), are intended to provide investors with a reasonable basis for assessing the financial performance of the Company as well as forward-looking statements relating to potential future performance. All dollar amounts are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Information

The Company's Financial Statements, and this accompanying MD&A, contain statements that constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of National Instrument 51-102, Continuous Disclosure Obligations of the Canadian Securities Administrators. Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "targeting" and "intend" and statements that an event or result "may", "will", "should", "could", or "might" occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements in this MD&A include statements regarding the Company's future exploration plans and expenditures, the satisfaction of rights and performance of obligations under agreements to which the Company is a part, the ability of the Company to hire and retain employees and consultants and estimated administrative assessment and other expenses. The forward-looking statements that are contained in this MD&A involve a number of risks and uncertainties. As a consequence, actual results might differ materially from results forecast or suggested in these forward-looking statements. Some of these risks and uncertainties are identified under the heading "RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES" in this MD&A. Additional information regarding these factors and other important factors that could cause results to differ materially may be referred to as part of particular forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the important factors discussed under the heading "RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES" and to those that may be discussed as part of particular forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause the actual results to differ include market prices, exploration success, continued availability of capital and financing, inability to obtain required regulatory approvals and general market conditions. These statements are based on a number of assumptions, including assumptions regarding general market conditions, the timing and receipt of regulatory approvals, the ability of the Company and other relevant parties to satisfy regulatory requirements, the availability of financing for proposed transactions and programs on reasonable terms and the ability of third-party service providers to deliver services in a timely manner. Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and the Company



disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Additional information relating to the Company and its operations can be obtained from the offices of the Company or on SEDAR at <u>www.sedar.com</u>.

Qualified Person

The Company's disclosure of a technical or scientific nature has been reviewed and approved by Paul G. Anderson, M.Sc., P.Geo., a qualified person under the definition of National Instrument 43-101.

Background and overall performance

In May 2010, the Company announced the signing of a significant strategic investment agreement (the "Agreement") with KGHM Polska Miedz SA ("KGHM") to form a joint venture to advance the Ajax Project through the bankable feasibility study ("BFS") and into production. KGHM is one of the world's largest copper and silver producers. On October 12, 2010 the parties executed the definitive joint venture shareholders' agreement ("Joint Venture Agreement"). These agreements included the following highlights:

- KGHM and the Company completed a \$4.5-million private placement involving the purchase of 15 million common shares of Abacus at a price of \$0.30 per share.
- Abacus incorporated a wholly-owned subsidiary, KGHM Ajax, and transferred all of its mineral property interests in the Ajax Project fair valued at US\$35,549,020 to KGHM Ajax in exchange for 4,900 common shares of KGHM Ajax.
- KGHM acquired a 51% interest in KGHM Ajax by investing US\$37,000,000 in cash in exchange for 5,100 common shares of KGHM Ajax at the closing of the transaction on October 12, 2010. These funds were used for: (a) the completion of the BFS and certain other obligations which occurred during 2010 and 2011; and (b) the acquisition of additional land areas and exploration of other targets in the Ajax Project area. By December 31, 2011 substantially all of these funds had been used to fund the planned activity.
- Abacus was the operator of the Ajax Project, and KGHM could elect to become the operator of the Ajax Project, as described below.

KGHM's development option (the "Development Option"):

• Following the completion of the BFS, KGHM had the option to acquire an additional 29% interest, for a total 80% direct interest, in KGHM Ajax, for cash consideration of US \$0.025 per pound for the corresponding 29% of proven and probable copper equivalent reserves (as defined in the BFS) up to a maximum of US \$35,000,000, with the payment to be applied directly toward Abacus' proportionate share of the project's ongoing costs. After acquiring the additional 29%, KGHM could elect to become the Operator of the Ajax Project.



• KGHM is responsible for arranging the financing for its proportionate share of 80% of the Ajax Project's capital costs and will offer to arrange the financing for the balance of Abacus's proportionate share of 20% of the project's capital costs on commercially reasonable terms.

In accordance with the provisions of the Agreement, in December 2011 the Company delivered the Ajax Project's BFS to KGHM which was followed by the filing of the BFS on SEDAR on January 6, 2012. The BFS was led by Tetra Tech WEI (formally Wardrop) in conjunction with a team of globally recognized independent consultants.

On April 2, 2012, KGHM exercised the Development Option, thereby increasing its ownership in KGHM Ajax to 80%, and paid \$30,159,107 (US\$29,907,881) to Abacus. The funds were placed in escrow with KGHM Ajax (the "Escrowed Funds") to be used exclusively to fund Abacus' proportionate share of the project's ongoing costs.

On August 17, 2012, KGHM notified Abacus that it was exercising its option to appoint KGHM International Ltd. ("KGHMI") as the operator of the Ajax Project effective September 1, 2012 and a representative from KGHMI was appointed to KGHM Ajax's board of directors, thereby increasing KGHM's representatives on the board to three members and Abacus' representatives remaining at two. In addition, each of Abacus and KGHM selected a new appointee for the Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Operating Officer roles of KGHM Ajax.

With the change in operatorship, the Company took on more of a passive role with the Ajax Project, monitoring the progress and success of KGHMI as operator.

After assuming operatorship, an extensive review was performed by KGHMI staff and outside consultants in late 2012 through to mid-2013 to optimize the Ajax mine plan including validation of the proposed capital and operating expenditures. On August 2, 2013, (please refer to Abacus' press release of same date), KGHMI informed Abacus and the community of Kamloops that it was evaluating opportunities to make modifications to the Ajax project layout in the BFS which, interalia, may improve the economics of the project, increase the distance of the project's infrastructure from the closest residential neighbourhoods and public infrastructure, and improve the permitability of the Ajax project. As a consequence of reviewing these opportunities, KGHMI delayed the submission of the Ajax project Environmental Application Assessment ("EA"), which had been expected to be submitted in September 2013.

The 2013 actual expenditures on the Ajax project totaled \$30 million versus a forecasted 2013 budget of \$47 million as certain land acquisition costs and detailed engineering activities were delayed. Abacus' 20% share of the project costs were being funded by the Escrowed Funds.

In May 2014, KGHMI announced that it had made modifications to the site plan wherein several of the proposed mine's industrial facilities have been moved farther from the City of Kamloops. The new site plan represented an important adjustment to the Ajax Project as it concentrates mine activities to reduce the potential for adverse impacts from industrial activity to the city, nearby residents and other public infrastructure while optimizing the value of the project.



The key changes include:

- Redesign of the tailings storage facility from the previously proposed dry stack tailings storage to a more proven wet tailings technology. The tailings storage facility will be located closer to the mine operations.
- Relocation of a waste rock storage facility, the mine processing plant, primary crusher and temporary
 ore stockpiles. These sites, formerly situated inside City of Kamloops limits, will now be located more
 than 3.5 kilometers from the nearest city neighbourhoods and outside municipal boundaries.

A major work program was completed during the balance of 2014 consisting of various permitting activities, detailed engineering work, metallurgical test work, optimization studies and exploration and condemnation drilling. The objective of the exploration drilling programme was to identify potentially economic mineral resources close to the Ajax mining complex for future resource definition, as well as to test several highly-prospective regional targets outside the Ajax area, which resources could add significant value to the project. Total expenditures for the 2014 program were \$59.3 million.

The major technical effort during 2015 included the commencement and completion of basic engineering, completion of various optimization trade-off studies and continued drilling to confirm and improve the block model, obtain samples for additional metallurgical test work along with ongoing condemnation and geotechnical drilling. Capital cost estimates and a revised economic model were completed by year end. Abacus elected to contribute its proportionate share of the estimated 2015 capital expenditure of \$58.4 million, the majority of which, pursuant to the *Joint Venture Agreement* between the parties, is being provided by KGHM on behalf of Abacus as a loan, to be recovered from Abacus' share of revenue once production commences at Ajax. Accordingly, commencing with the April cash call, KGHM Group was requested to provide Abacus' portion of the project expenditures for the remainder of the year.

The results of these technical studies were incorporated into an Environmental Assessment Application/ Environmental Impact Statement (EA Application/EIS) which was filed in September 2015 with the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office ("BCEAO") for a completeness review. Following the completeness review and completing and revisions required by the BCEAO, the EA Application/ EIS was submitted on January 18, 2016 for technical review by the regulators. The review process was expected to conclude in late 2016, but due to the large number of requests and questions from the public, First Nations and the working group reviewing the application on behalf of various governments, the review was suspended on May 4, 2016 at the request of KGHM Ajax. The review was restarted on March 30, 2017.

In addition to completing the EA Application/EIS, KGHMI also completed an updated Feasibility Study (the "updated FS") for the Ajax deposit on January 13, 2016. The updated FS supersedes the Feasibility Study of January 6, 2012 and incorporates an updated reserve and significantly updated engineering. The updated FS was prepared in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 by a consortium of independent consultants under the direction of M3 Engineering and Technology Corp., a recognized global provider of design and construction services.



Management's Discussion & Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2018

Several significant changes were introduced to the scope and layout which yielded positive economic, processing and environmental parameters for the Ajax Project.

Economic Highlights (in US\$ unless otherwise indicated)

- Total proven and probable mineral reserves of 426 million tones containing 2.7 billion lbs Cu, 2.6 million oz Au, and 5.3 million oz Ag, at an average life of mine (LOM) head grade of 0.29% Cu, 0.19 g/t Au, and 0.39g/t Ag.*
- 18 year mine life at an average nominal processing rate of 65,000 tonnes per day (t/d) at an overall stripping ratio of 2.65:1
- Average annual production of copper and gold in concentrate of 58,000 tonnes Cu and 125,000 oz Au
- Average mine operating costs of \$1.5/t; average process operating costs of \$4.31/t
- Initial capital expenditures of \$1.307 billion
- Pre-tax NPV (8%) = \$429.4 M Pre-tax NPV (5%) = \$872.5 M
- Pre-Tax IRR 13.4%; payback (years) 6.5

The NI 43-101 technical report entitled "Ajax NI 43-101 Feasibility Study Update Technical Report" prepared by the Qualified Persons mentioned in this report was filed on Sedar (www.sedar.com) and the company's website (www.amemining.com) on February 23, 2016.

Key changes from the January 2012 Feasibility Study include:

- Project site relocation from the north to the south side of the mine pit
- Change in tailings technology to thickened tailings
- Change in mining plans from 60,000 t/d to 65,000 t/d, and the replacement of the in-pit semi-mobile crushing stations with a single, fixed primary crushing station
- Addition of a fine ore stockpile
- Adjustments to the site water management plan to accommodate facility relocation and tailings storage facility redesign
- Further definition of mineral resource and mineral reserves

With a strategy of engaging the community and First Nations, KGHM Ajax has provided extensive information to the local community and First Nations groups. Agreements have been signed with the Stk'emlupsemcteSecwepemc ("STS"), represented by the Tk'emlups and Skeetchestn First Nations bands for a Cultural Heritage Study and a Memorandum of Understanding ("MoU"). Consultations continue with the STS towards aligning an Impacts Benefits Project Agreement ("IBA"). The IBA would define the mutual responsibilities between KGHM Ajax and the STS in connection with the benefits, construction, operations, and closure of the Ajax project.

The focus of the 2016 program on the Ajax Project was to continue the required work to support the EA application and prepare responses to comments on the EA application from the regulators and the public and

^{*}Based on LOM metal prices of Cu: US\$3.21/lb, Au: US\$1,200/oz, Ag: US\$17/oz



then provide them to the BCEAO and Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency ("CEAA"). In addition, consultation and negotiation with First Nations continued with a view to completing Project Agreements.

On March 29, 2017, the operator of the Ajax Project, KGHMI, was notified by the BCEAO that, as a result of the satisfactory completion of information and materials in response to issues raised by multiple stakeholders, and in fulfilment of the requirements related to Aboriginal and public consultation, it had lifted its suspension of the 180-day time limit for the application review. This suspension was originally requested by KGHMI to fulfill the requirements to the BCEAO's satisfaction.

The BCEAO also informed KGHMI that, going forward, the CEAA and the BCEAO have agreed to seek a greater level of coordination and to prepare a joint assessment report. This coordinated approach was expected to streamline the process and provide greater certainty of process through the alignment of timelines, ensuring that both jurisdictions consider the same information and avoid duplication. Throughout the period, the CEAA and the BCEAO have acted in coordination on the Ajax Project, co-chairing a technical working group, participating in consultation with Aboriginal groups and coordinating public comment periods.

To provide the process certainty for the remainder of the EA and to ensure federal- provincial timeline alignment consistent with a coordinated process, the provincial review period was extended by an additional 110 days. Inclusive of the extension was a 30-day public comment period on the joint assessment report and any draft provincial EA Certificate conditions ending on October 10, 2017, as well as a 45-day period for provincial Ministers to make a decision as to whether to issue an EA Certificate for the Ajax Project. Hence the Application review phase concluded in mid-October 2017.

In December 2017, the British Columbia Minister of Environment and Climate Change Strategy and Minister of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources announced they had declined the issuance of an Environmental Assessment Certificate (EA Certificate) for the Ajax project. The Project has been the subject of a joint coordinated review process involving the provincial BCEAO and the federal CEAA. The Federal Minister of Environment and Climate Change Canada announced that the Project 'is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects and cumulative effects to Indigenous heritage and the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes by Indigenous peoples.' The Minister has referred the Project back to the responsible authorities, Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Natural Resources Canada. The responsible authorities must now seek a final decision from Cabinet on whether the Project can proceed. The decisions (the "Decisions") follow a six-year environmental assessment review process that was formally initiated in February 2011, involving extensive engagement with provincial and federal government agencies, technical working and community advisory groups, First Nations and a broad array of stakeholder interest groups including thousands of community members who took part.

The provincial Ministers' decisions to reject the Ajax Project in view of the highest standards that were consistently met for public consultation and stakeholder engagement was a significant disappointment to the Company; however, in management of the Company's view, the Project is technically sound, viable and economically beneficial for the Kamloops community, First Nations and for the Province of BC and Canada. KGHM Ajax, following a review of the reasons for the provincial decision, will consider the next steps, which may include judicial review.



Management's Discussion & Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2018

Investment in KGHM Ajax project:

KGHM Ajax is engaged principally in the exploration and development of the Ajax Project located near Kamloops, British Columbia. The Company owns 20% (KGHM: 80%) of the common and voting shares of KGHM Ajax and adjusts for its share of the profits and/or losses of KGHM Ajax as well as any distributions received from KGHM Ajax (none to date).

As a result of the Decisions, KGHM Ajax recorded an impairment loss, reducing the carrying value of the Ajax project at December 31, 2017, such that at December 31, 2017 the Company's investment in KGHM Ajax was \$6,390,810 (2017: \$22,040,341).

Willow Project (includes the Willow Property and the Nev-Lorraine Property):

As the Nev-Lorraine Property is contiguous to the Willow Property, the two properties have been aggregated, for geological and exploration reporting purposes only, into the Willow Project. (See *Willow Option Agreement* and *Nev-Lorraine Lease Agreement* below.)

At the Willow Project, a work program comprising geological mapping, geochemical sampling, geophysics and relogging of old core was undertaken in 2017 with the objective of developing drill targets. Geological mapping commenced in April, the geochemical sampling and geophysical surveying commenced in August, and further mapping and sampling was undertaken in November of 2017. Manipulation of the data acquired to date has continued, and a robust target that has the signature of a porphyry copper deposit has been defined on the property. A short drilling program of approximately 2000 metres was initiated in late April of 2018.

Willow Option Agreement:

On February 14, 2017, the Company entered into an option agreement ("Option Agreement") with Almadex Minerals Limited and its wholly-owned Nevada subsidiary Almadex America Inc. (collectively, "Almadex"), to acquire the exclusive right and option to earn, in the aggregate, up to a 75% undivided ownership interest in the Willow Property (the "Willow Property") near Yerington, Nevada.



To acquire the initial 60% Option Interest in the Willow Property, the Company is required to issue common shares and incur exploration expenditures as follows:

	Common	Minimum Annual
	shares in the	Exploration
	capital of	Expenditures on the
	Abacus (the	Exploration Program
	"Shares") (1)	("Expenditures")
Date	(#)	(US\$)
TSX-V approval (received February 22, 2017)	41,667 ⁽²⁾	Nil
On or before the February 22, 2018	41,667 ⁽³⁾	100,000 (4)
On or before the February 22, 2019	41,667	300,000
On or before the February 22, 2020	41,667	600,000
On or before the February 22, 2021	83,333	800,000
On or before the February 22, 2022	166,666	1,200,000
Total	416,667	3,000,000

⁽¹⁾ After taking into effect the consolidation of the common shares in the capital of the Company, as to one post-consolidation share for every six pre-consolidation shares, which post-consolidated shares commenced trading on the TSX-V on May 1, 2017.

Upon having earned the 60% Option Interest in the Willow Property and until the 10th anniversary date of the date of regulatory approval, the Company will be required to incur minimum exploration expenditures on an exploration program on the Property of US\$500,000 per year. The Company will act as the Willow Property's operator during the initial five-year term and following the earning of the 60% Option Interest, until such time as a joint venture is established, as described below. Under the terms of the Option Agreement, should either Abacus or Almadex acquire the rights to additional property ("AP") within the Area of Interest ("AOI"), the other party may elect to make the AP part of the Willow project, pursuant to which Alamdex elected to include the Nev-Lorraine claims (see *Nev-Lorraine Lease Agreement* below) to the Willow project.

In order to earn the 15% Additional Interest, such that the Company would have an aggregate interest of 75% in the Willow Property, the Company will be required to deliver a Feasibility Study on the Willow Property to Almadex on or before the 10th anniversary date of the date of regulatory approval. Upon having earned the Additional Interest, the Company will continue to act as the Willow Property's operator until such time as a 75:25 joint venture is established for the further management, exploration and development of the Willow Property.

Nev-Lorraine Lease Agreement:

The Company entered into an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement (the "NL Agreement") dated effective January 1, 2018, with three individuals (collectively, the "Optionors"), to lease the Nev-Lorraine unpatented mining claims located in Douglas County, Nevada, USA (the "Nev-Lorraine Property"). The Agreement is a ten year lease agreement allowing the Company to explore the Nev-Lorraine claims pursuant to the following payments and expenditures:

⁽²⁾ Issued on March 16, 2017; valued at \$18,750.

⁽³⁾ Issued on February 7, 2018; valued at \$9,167.

⁽⁴⁾ Incurred



	Annual Minimum Payments			Cumulative Minimum Annual Exploration Expenditures
Date	(US\$)	(1)	Date	(US\$)
Initial minimum payment	3,000	(2)	- On an hafana Dacamban 31, 3018	20.000
On execution of the Agreement	60,000	` '	On or before December 31, 2018	20,000
On or before January 1, 2019	70,000		On or before December 31, 2019	40,000
On or before January 1, 2020	80,000		On or before December 31, 2020	60,000
On or before January 1, 2021	90,000		On or before December 31, 2021	80,000
On or before January 1, 2022	100,000		On or before December 31, 2022	100,000
On or before January 1, 2023	110,000		On or before December 31, 2023	120,000
On or before January 1, 2024	120,000		On or before December 31, 2024	140,000
On or before January 1, 2025	130,000		On or before December 31, 2025	160,000
On or before January 1, 2026	140,000		On or before December 31, 2026	180,000
On or before January 1, 2027	150,000	_	On or before December 31, 2027	200,000
Total	1,053,000			

⁽¹⁾ Paid August 15, 2017

At any time during the life of the NL Agreement, the Company can elect to purchase the claim group outright from the Optionors, for sums ranging from US\$1,500,000 to US\$1,950,000. The Optionors do not retain an NSR, and the yearly expenditures are cumulative, meaning that any excess expenditure can be carried through to subsequent years.

Tomichi Property:

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company acquired, by staking, claims comprising the Tomichi Property, in Colorado, US.

KGHM JV operations funding at Ajax project:

Under the terms of the JV Agreement, and without dilution to its 20% ownership of KGHM Ajax, the Company requested, beginning in 2015, that KGHM provide the Company's funding of the operations at Ajax as a loan (the "KGHM Ajax Project Loan"). At March 31, 2018, the Company had principal and interest on funds provided by KGHM in the total amount of \$14,712,519.

The KGHM Ajax Project Loan is non-revolving, bears interest of 10% per annum, is secured by the investment in KGHM Ajax, and has a maturity date of December 31, 2020. Under the terms of the JV Agreement, if, at the time of maturity of the loan, the commencement of commercial production and the distribution of dividends by the JV to the Company are not sufficient to repay the KGHM Ajax Project Loan, the parties must, in good faith, negotiate an extension. Additionally, should the Company incur debt outside of the KGHM Ajax Project Loan or dispose of assets, in each case in excess of \$100,000, any prepayment of the debt is only in the amount of the funds borrowed or sale proceeds received.

⁽²⁾ Paid February 6, 2018



Management's Discussion & Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2018

Results of operations

Activities of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2018 focus on monitoring the activities being performed by KGHMI at the Ajax Project, entering into agreement at the Nev-Lorraine project, preparation for a drilling program at the Willow property, and announcing a non-brokered private placement which raised gross proceeds of \$828,500 on closing of April 3, 2018. The Ajax, Nev-Lorraine and Willow projects are more fully discussed in section above titled *Overall performance*.

Analysis of the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2018 (the "Quarter")

Net general and administrative expenses during the Quarter were \$598,390, as compared with \$898,945 for the three months ended March 31, 2017 (the "2017 Quarter"). Significant differences in the general and administrative expenses for the Quarter include:

- Consulting and directors' fees decreased to \$16,875 as compared with \$46,175 in 2017, primarily as a result of change in positions of certain individuals.
- Exploration and evaluation expenditures decreased to \$41,766 (2017: \$52,334), relative to activity at the Company's Willow property.
- Share-based payments is a non-cash item, resulting from the application of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model using assumptions in respect of expected dividend yield average risk-free interest rates, expected life of the options and expected volatility, is intended to represent the fair value determined under the Black-Scholes model of the vested portion of existing options during the period. A share-based payments expense of \$2,969 was recognized during the Quarter (2017 Quarter: \$293,227).
- During the Quarter, Abacus recorded its share of the losses incurred by KGHM Ajax in its equity investment in KGHM Ajax, as to \$116,378 (2017 Quarter: \$84,125).
- Interest expense increased to \$351,039 (2017: \$299,119), as a result of interest accruing on the KGHM Ajax project loan.



Summary of quarterly results

The selected information set out below has been gathered from the previous eight quarterly financial statements for each respective three month financial period and are presented in accordance with IFRS.

	Revenue	Loss	Loss per share
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
March 31, 2018	Nil	713,843	0.02
December 31, 2017	Nil	17,294,659	0.44
September 30, 2017	Nil	807,911	0.12
June 30, 2017	Nil	476,996	0.09
March 31, 2017	Nil	981,296	0.03
December 31, 2016	Nil	28,254,289	0.84
September 30, 201	Nil	786,033	0.02
June 30, 2016	Nil	599,327	0.02

Liquidity

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are comprised of bank deposits and a savings account. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$91,567 are due in the second quarter of 2018. At March 31, 2018, the Company had cash and cash equivalents, and accounts receivable of \$906,083 and \$4,789, respectively. Cash used in operating activities for the period ended March 31, 2018 was \$200,801.

The ability of the Company to continue is dependent upon the Company's ability to generate future profitable operations and receive continued financial support from its development partner and shareholders, primarily by way of loans from KGHM and from equity financing. The Company will need to raise or borrow money for its activities. Current sources of future funding are undetermined, and management will continue to review potential financings options as the need arises. There is no certainty that the Company will be able to receive continued financial support in the future. Existing working capital is expected to be sufficient to cover non-discretionary operating expenditures for the next twelve months.

Capital resources

The Company's primary capital asset is its 20% interest in KGHM Ajax: KGHM Ajax holds 100% of the mineral interests of the Ajax Project. The Company is earning an interest in the Willow property, has a ten-year lease agreement on the Nev-Lorraine property, and has staked claims at the Tomichi property. (See *Background and Overall Performance* in this Report.)

Off balance sheet arrangements

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Compensation of Key Management Personnel

Key management personnel consist of Paul G. Anderson (President and COO, effective November 6, 2017), Michael McInnis (CEO and President until November 5, 2017, a Director of the Company, and, effective November 5, 2017, Executive Chairman), Jeannine Webb (CFO and Corporate Secretary), and Thomas McKeever, Kerry Spong, John McConnell and Sean Harvey (independent, non-executive Directors of the Company).



The remuneration of key management personnel during the period ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 is summarized as follows:

Management's and director's compensation	March 31, 2018	March 31, 2017
	(\$)	(\$)
Accounting	10,688	16,400
Consulting and contract wages	90,470	39,063
Share-based payments	-	291,226
Directors' fees	22,500	22,500
	123,657	369,189

Outstanding share data

Share consolidation

At the Special General Meeting held on April 25, 2017, the Company received shareholder approval to consolidate its issued and outstanding common shares on the basis of one post-consolidation common share for every six pre-consolidation common shares. Regulatory approval having been received, the common shares of the Company commenced trading on the TSX-V on a post-consolidated basis on May 1, 2017. There was no change to the Company's trading symbol on the TSX-V. All information relating to earnings/loss per share, issued and outstanding common shares, share options and warrants in this Report, have been adjusted retrospectively to reflect the share consolidation.

Share issuances

On February 7, 2018, in connection with the Willow Property Option Agreement, the Company issued 41,667 common shares of the Company. The shares were valued at \$9,167.

As at March 31, 2018, the company recorded \$709,500 for subscription funds received in relation to a private placement that closed April 3, 2018 (see *Subsequent Events* in this Report).

As at the Report Date, the Company had the following common shares, stock options and warrants outstanding:

Common shares	43,377,109
Stock options	3,534,157
Warrants	5,784,167

Proposed transactions

There are no proposed transactions to be reported.



Management's Discussion & Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2018

Subsequent events

On April 3, 2018, the company closed, subject to final regulatory approval (received April 11, 2018), a non-brokered private placement for gross proceeds of \$828,500 through the issuance of 4,142,500 units of the Company ("Units") at a price of \$0.20 per Unit. Each Unit consists of one common share of the Company and one non-transferable common share purchase warrant, with each warrant exercisable to purchase one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.30 per common share for a period of three years from the date of closing of the financing.

On April 19, 2018 the Company granted stock options allowing for the acquisition of up to, in the aggregate, 447,500 shares of the Company at \$0.22 per share until April 19, 2023.

Changes in accounting policies

Other than the adoption of new accounting policies as described below, the same accounting policies have been used in the preparation of the Financial Statements as those used in the most recent audited annual financial statements and reflect all the adjustments necessary for the fair presentation in accordance with IFRS of the result of the interim periods presented.

New accounting standards

The Company has adopted the following new standard, along with any consequential amendments, prior to or effective January 1, 2018. These changes were made in accordance with the applicable transitional provisions and did not impact the Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements.

- IFRS 2, "Share-based payment" (amended standard) is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018.
- IFRS 9, "Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement" is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company adopted IFRS 9 retrospectively, without restatement of prior year financial statements. IFRS 9 replaces the provisions of IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement ("IAS 39") that relate to the recognition, classification, and measurements of financial assets and financial liabilities, derecognition of financial instruments and impairment of financial assets. IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is classified and measured at amortized cost or fair value. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how the Company manages its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial asset. Most of the requirements in IAS 39 for classification and measurement of financial liabilities were carried forward in IFRS 9. The application of IFRS 9 did not impact the Company's classification and measurement of financial assets and liabilities, and there was also no impact to the carrying value of any of the Company's financial assets or liabilities on the date of transition.
- IFRS 15, "Revenue from Contracts and Customers": is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018.

Accounting Standards Issued but not yet in Effect

IFRS 16, "Leases": is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019.



The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard on its consolidated financial statements. The impact is not expected to have a material impact on the statements of financial position or results of operations of the Company.

Risks and uncertainties

The Company's principal activity is mineral exploration and development, which is speculative and involves a high degree of risk. There is a significant probability that the expenditures made by the Company in the exploring of its properties will not result in discoveries of commercial quantities of minerals. A high level of ongoing expenditures is required to locate and estimate ore reserves, which are the basis for further development of a property. Capital expenditures to attain commercial production stage are also very substantial. The Company has a history of incurring losses and deficits, and is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties due to the nature of its business and present stage of explorations, such as, but not limited to, exploration, market, commodity prices, Aboriginal land claims, title, limited financial resources, share price volatility, key personnel, competition, environmental and regulatory requirements, uninsurable risks and critical accounting estimates.

The following sets out the principal risks faced by the Company:

<u>Title:</u> Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to mineral properties in which it or KGHM Ajax have an interest in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee the title. Property title may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

<u>Aboriginal Land Claims:</u> Aboriginal rights may be claimed on properties or other types of tenure with respect to which mining rights have been conferred. The Company is aware of the mutual benefits afforded by cooperative relationships with indigenous people in conducting exploration activity and is supportive of measures established to achieve such cooperation. The outcome of any aboriginal land claims cannot be predicted, and if successful, would have a significant adverse effect on the Company

Lack of Revenue and Limited Financial Resources: The Company has no significant source of operating cash flow and no revenues from operations. The Company has limited financial resources, and its ability to continue operating as a going concern is dependent upon management's success in raising additional monies to sustain the Company until cash flow from operations is adequate to sustain the Company's viability. Substantial expenditures are required to be made by the Company and/or its development partners to establish ore reserves and develop a mining operation. Exploration and development of mineral deposits is an expensive process, and frequently the greater the level of interim stage success the more expensive it can become. At present, the Company has no producing properties and generates no operating revenues; therefore, for the foreseeable future, it will be dependent upon selling equity in the capital markets to provide financing for its continuing exploration budgets. While the Company has been successful in obtaining financing from the capital markets for its projects in recent years, there can be no assurance that the capital markets will remain favourable in the future, and/or that the Company will be able to raise the financing needed to continue its exploration programs on favourable terms, or at all. Restrictions on the Company's ability to finance could have a materially adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.



Exploration and Development of Properties: The property interests owned by the Company or KGHM Ajax, or in which it may have an interest, are currently in the exploration and evaluation stages and have no ongoing mining operations. Mineral exploration and development involves a high degree of risk and few properties are ultimately developed into producing mines. The Ajax Project is located on the southwest side of Kamloops, BC and is progressing through the preliminary steps of the environmental assessment process administered jointly by the provincial Environmental Assessment Office and the federal Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. The proposed infrastructure will be located primarily on private land owned by KGHM Ajax, with some utilization of crown land. The Ajax Project has been designated as a Major Resource Project and constitutes a reviewable project under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, in addition to requiring a number of provincial permits before construction can begin. While KGHM Ajax has engaged environmental experts and consultants and is committed to working in consultation with the community, First Nations and government agencies to identify areas of environmental concern, and to developing local policies and procedures that minimize the impact of mining on surrounding areas, there can be no assurance that environmental approval will be granted in a timely manner.

Share Price Volatility, Price Fluctuations and Commodity price: The price of the common shares, financial results and exploration, development and mining activities of the Company or KGHM Ajax may in the future be significantly adversely affected by declines in the prices of base and precious metals. Metal prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control. There can be no assurance that such price fluctuations will continue, or that investors' evaluations, perceptions, beliefs and sentiments will continue to impact these target commodities. An adverse change in these commodities' prices, or in investors' beliefs about trends in those prices, could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities. There can be no assurance that these price fluctuations and volatility will not continue to occur.

<u>Key personnel</u>: Other than in respect of the Joint Venture, the Company's operations are dependent to a large degree on the skills and experience of certain key personnel. The Company does not maintain "key man" insurance policies on these individuals. Should the availability of these persons' skills and experience be in any way reduced or curtailed, this could have a materially adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

<u>Competition</u>: Significant and increasing competition exists for the opportunity to acquire or acquire an interest in the limited number of mineral properties available. As a result of this competition, some of which is with large established mining companies with substantial capabilities and greater financial and technical resources than the Company, the Company may be unable to acquire additional interests in attractive mineral properties on terms it considers acceptable.

<u>Environmental and Other Regulatory Requirements</u>: The mining, processing, development and mineral exploration activities of the Company are subject to various laws governing prospecting, development, production, taxes, labour standards, mine safety, land use, toxic substances, land claims of local people and other matters. These laws and other governmental policies may affect investments of the Company and/or its shareholders.

The Company is subject to the laws and regulations relating to environmental matters in all jurisdictions in which it operates, including provisions relating to property reclamation, discharge of hazardous material and other



matters. The Company may also be held liable should environmental problems be discovered that were caused by former owners and operators of its properties and properties in which it has previously had an interest. The Company conducts its mineral exploration activities in compliance with applicable environmental protection legislation. The Company is not aware of any existing environmental problems related to any of its current or former properties that may result in material liability to the Company.

<u>Market</u>: The Company's securities trade on public markets and the trading value thereof is determined by the evaluations, perceptions and sentiments of both individual investors and the investment community taken as a whole. Such evaluations, perceptions and sentiments are subject to change, both in short term time horizons and longer term time horizons. An adverse change in investor evaluations, perceptions and sentiments could have a material adverse outcome on the Company and its securities.

<u>Uninsurable</u>: The Company and its subsidiaries may become subject to liability for pollution, fire, explosion and other risks against which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure. Such events could result in substantial damage to property and personal injury. The payment of any such liabilities may have a material, adverse effect on the Company's financial position.

Critical Accounting Estimates: In the preparation of financial information, management makes judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect, amongst other things, the carrying value of its mineral property assets. All deferred mineral property expenditures are reviewed, on a property-by-property basis, to consider whether there are any conditions that may indicate impairment. When the carrying value of a property exceeds its net recoverable amount that may be estimated by quantifiable evidence of an economic geological resource or reserve, joint venture expenditure commitments or the Company's assessment of its ability to sell the property for an amount exceeding the deferred costs, a provision is made for the impairment in value. Management's estimates of exploration, operating, capital and reclamation costs, if any, are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which may affect the recoverability of mineral property costs. Although management has made its best estimate of these factors, it is possible that changes could occur in the near term that could adversely affect management's estimate of the net cash flow to be generated from its properties. The Company also uses the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model in relation to share based payments. Option pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, and therefore the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options granted/vested during the period.

Legal proceedings

On September 21, 2015, Chief Ignace and Chief Gottfriedson, on behalf of the Stk'emlùpsemcteSecwepemc of the Secwepemc Nation, (the "SSN") filed a Notice of Civil Claim in the BC Supreme Court, naming the Provincial and Federal governments and KGHM as defendants. SSN seeks declarations of Aboriginal rights and title over a portion of their traditional territory, focused on the Ajax Project area, damages for infringements of those Aboriginal rights and title, and interim and permanent injunctions preventing activities in relation to the Ajax Project. The Company is not a defendant, and has been advised that KGHM is receiving legal advice in respect of this matter.



$Form \, 51\text{-}102\text{F1} \\$ Management's Discussion & Analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2018

On behalf of the Board,

"Paul G. Anderson"

Paul G. Anderson, President & COO